SUBJECTS.

receive the kind consideration of the Government and he prayed that either a full-time Munsiff, with jurisdiction over the villages of Pathanapuram lying four or five miles of the Shencotta Railway Station, or at least a Magistrate-Munsiff as before, might be allowed for the taluk.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

48. The desirability of transferring the Krishnapuram Munsiff's Court to Kayankulam.

Mr. A. Krishna Pillai (Member, Trading Classes, Kayankulam) said that it did not require much argument from him to show that Kayankulam was one of the important trading centres of the State. Now it had all the important public offices except a Munsiff's Court. In that respect the people of the town were suffering much inconvenience all along, as they had to go to Krishnapuram for civil remedies. In the recent re-adjustment of the territorial jurisdiction of the Courts a portion of the jurisdiction of the Krishnapuram Munsiff was transferred to the newly constituted Court at Karunagappalli. With the above re-adjustment there would be no more necessity for retaining the Krishnapuram Munsiff's Court there, and the long felt want of Kayankulam would be satisfied by shifting the Court a little more to the north and establishing it at Kayankulam. There could be no inconvenience to the Government on account of a building, for, the Krishnapuram Munsiff's Court was all along housed in a rented building. If the Kayankulam Sub-Registrar's office be removed to a rented building, the satrom at Kayankulam would become available for locating the Munsiff's Court; and when a pucka building was constructed at Kayankulam, all the Government offices could conveniently go into it.

Dewan's reply.—The High Court will be asked to report on the subject.

49. The desirability of transferring the Alleppey Additional Munsiff's Court to Ampalapuzha.

Mr. S. Parameswara Menon (Member, Ampalapuzha taluk) said that there was a Munsiff's Court at Ampalapuzha which was transferred to Alleppey in 1057 M. E. Now there were two Courts at Alleppey, at the north-western extremity of the taluk. The people of Purakkad and Thalavadi had to travel a distance of 17 and 18 miles respectively to go to Alleppey. Ampalapuzha had at present all the other institutions except a Munsiff's Court. The subject had been brought forward at three previous sessions of the Assembly. The Member therefore prayed that the Additional Court at Alleppey be transferred to Ampalapuzha. He added that there was no permanent building at present for the Alleppey Court, so that the Government had nothing to lose that way.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

50. The need for a Munsiff's Court, at Changanacherry.

Mr. P. J. Oommen (Member, Changanacherry taluk) said that there was, at one time, a Munsiff's Court at Changanacherry, which was shifted in 1030 to Ampalapuzha. The civil jurisdiction of the taluk now rested partly with the Thiruvalla and partly with the Alleppey Munsiffs' Courts. The taluk still preserved its unity in matters of revenue, magisterial and registration jurisdictions. By the establishment of a Munsiff's Court at Changanacherry, the Additional Court at Thiruvalla might be abolished and the Court at

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

SUBJECTS.

Changanacherry might conveniently be located in the old Taluk Cutcherry building now lying vacant. The Member then said that it was the fourth time that the same subject was being pressed for the consideration of the Government and he prayed that the Government might see their way to grant the present prayer of the people of the taluk.

Dewan's reply.—The High Court will be asked to report on the subject.

The Assembly adjourned at 4 P. M. to witness the Sri Mulam Sports.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly